



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,970	04/07/2006	Shinya Yokodate	288247US2PCT	5931
22850	7590	08/28/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
LEIBY, CHRISTOPHER E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/28/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/574,970

Applicant(s)

YOKODATE ET AL.

Examiner

CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17-19, 28, 35, 36, 58, 59, 60, and 61.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-19, 28, 35, 36 and 58-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-19, 28, 35, 36 and 58-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 April 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Detailed Action

1. **Claims 17-19, 28, 35, 36, 58, 59, 60, and 61** are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 17, 19, 35, 58-61** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Takagi et al.** (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0189557), herein after referred to as Takagi, in view of **Dixon** (US Application Publication 2004/0041749).

Regarding **independent claim 17**, Takagi discloses a portable apparatus (*abstract reference portable phone*) comprising: a hinge coupling an end of an operation-side casing having an operation part and an end of a display-side casing having a main display part with each other for pivotable movement thereof (*figure 2 reference hinge connecting display side casing 12 and operational side casing 14 allowing opening and closing motions shown in figures 1 and 2*), an LED display panel which is arranged in a display window formed on a casing surface of said display-side casing other than a surface provided with the main display part (*paragraph [0004] wherein secondary display, shown in figure 1 reference 18, can be an LED display*).

Takagi does not disclose any specifics for the secondary display other than it is an LED display.

Dixon does disclose specifics for an array of LEDs as a secondary display for a portable phone (abstract and figure 3) and which has a plurality of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) outwardly projecting light and matrix-arranged in a plane (*figure 3 reference 210 and paragraph [0022]*); a display control unit controlling display of said plurality of light-emitting diodes of said LED display panel on the basis of input display data (*figure 4 reference 414*); and a main control unit outputting said display data displayed on said LED display panel to said display control unit (*figure 4 reference 412*).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Takagi's portable phone with a secondary LED display with the secondary LED display specifics of Dixon in order to display a simple display of remaining battery life as disclosed by Dixon (*paragraph [0025]*).

Regarding **claim 19**, Takagi discloses a portable apparatus, wherein, the main display part is provided on a surface of said display-side casing facing said operation-side casing when the operation-side casing and the display-side casing are in their closed position (*figures 1 and 2 reference main display 16 facing operation side 20 when closed*).

Regarding **claim 35**, Takagi and Dixon disclose a portable apparatus, wherein said main display part has a higher resolution than said LED display panel (*Dixon: discloses a secondary LED display with only a couple of LEDs setup in an array*

as shown in figure 3 reference 210, wherein the dot pitch between each LED of the secondary display is inherently greater than the LCD main display as disclosed by Takagi hence the main display has a higher resolution than the LED display).

Regarding **claim 58**, Dixon discloses a portable apparatus, further comprising: a battery supplying power to said LED display panel, the display control unit and the main control unit (*paragraph [0025]*).

Regarding **claim 59**, Takagi discloses a portable apparatus, wherein the LED display panel is provided on a surface of said display side casing opposed to the surface provided with the main display part (*figure 1 reference 18*).

Regarding **claim 60**, Takagi discloses a portable apparatus, wherein said display side casing is configured to be rotatable by at least 180° about a direction perpendicular to the core of a hinge axis (*figures 1 reference closed position being zero degrees perpendicular to hinge and figure 2 reference open position being 180 degrees perpendicular motion to hinge*).

Regarding **claim 61**, Dixon discloses a portable apparatus, wherein a display pattern to be displayed on said LED display panel is graphic pattern, a design pattern or a letter pattern (*paragraph [0025] reference displaying remaining battery figure 3 reference 140*).

4. **Claims 28 and 36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Takagi and Dixon** as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of **Hawkins et al.** (US Patent 7,356,361), herein after referred to as Hawkins.

Regarding **claims 36 and 28**, Takagi and Dixon disclose a portable apparatus.

Neither Takagi nor Dixon disclose a portable apparatus further comprising: an operation key operable in a state that said operation-side casing and said display- side casing are in their closed position, wherein display of said LED display panel turns on/off by operation of said operation key.

Hawkins does disclose a portable apparatus further comprising: an operation key operable in a state that said operation-side casing and said display- side casing are in their closed position, wherein display of said LED display panel turns on/off by operation of said operation key (*figure 1b reference 138*).

It would have been obvious to combine Hawkins power button to Takagi and Dixon's portable device to operate the power button while the lid is closed so that a user does not lose their place in the current application by opening the lid (*column 10 lines 36-46*).

5. **Claim 18** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Takagi** and **Dixon** as applied to claim 58 above, and further in view of **Lee** (US Patent 7,110,796).

Regarding **claim 18**, Dixon discloses that the portable device uses a battery.

Neither Takagi nor Dixon disclose the portable apparatus, wherein the battery is provided in the operation-side casing.

Lee does disclose a portable apparatus, wherein the battery is provided in the operation-side casing (*figure 4a reference 148*).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lee's battery position with Takagi and Dixon's portable phone in order to enable the user to easily remove or place the battery.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY whose telephone number is (571)270-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 - 5 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

August 29th, 2008

/Alexander Eisen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629